

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09250.0001 07/02/2003 Val Kagan 4081 10/612,272 EXAMINER 21127 7590 11/02/2005 KUDIRKA & JOBSE, LLP LEUNG, PHILIP H ONE STATE STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 800** BOSTON, MA 02109 3742

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/612,272	KAGAN, VAL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip H. Leung	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commul BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.	Sentember 2005		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		ters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•		1110 10
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 29-44 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-44</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		· ·	ie
application from the International Burea			, -
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	` ' ' '	received.	
	·		
1 ttochmont(c)			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Summany (BTO 412)	
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-27-2005.	3) 5) ☐ Notice of □ 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Application/Control Number: 10/612,272

Art Unit: 3742

1:1-4

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 29-44 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of copending Application No. 10/884,851, in view of Terry (GB 752,268) (previously cited by the applicant). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are directed to an induction heating deice and method using a power source providing current pulses with high frequency harmonics to a heater coil for adjusting the ratio between inductive and resistive heating of an article. In one aspect, the claims in this application is broader in scope as they do not require "at least 50% pulse energy in high frequency harmonics" as recited in the copending application. However, Terry clearly teaches the use of a power source generating a current with harmonics to an induction heating coil to increase efficiency and the efficiency depends on the percentage of the harmonics (see page 1, lines 63-68). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to modify the present invention without the specific harmonics percentage, in view of the teaching of Terry.

This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 3742

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 29-44 would be allowable if the provisional the obviousness double patenting rejection is obviated, such as by filing a terminal disclaimed. The claims define over the art of record as the claimed heating apparatus with an inductive heater coil with a power source supplying non-sinusoidal pulses having steeply varying potions with high frequency harmonics in the inductive heater coil is not shown or suggested by the prior art. It is particularly noted that Terry (GB 752,268) only discloses low frequency harmonics in a sinusoidal power supply current for an induction heater coil.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-4782.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 472-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung Drimary Examiner

Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 10-28-2005